arXiv:hep-th/0103088v1 12 Mar 2001

FIAN/TD/01-02
OHSTPY-HEP-T-01-004
hep-th/0103088

Massive fields in AdS(3) and compactification in AdS spacetime*

R.R. Metsaev[a] †

[a] Department of Physics, The Ohio State University,
174 West 18th Avenue, Columbus, OH 43210-1106, USA

Massive arbitrary spin fields in AdS(3) spacetime are discussed in a framework of light-cone gauge formulation. We also consider compactification of AdS spacetime on manifold which is warped product of AdS space and sphere. Mass spectra of massless and massive fields upon such compactification are found.

1. Introduction

Motivated by a desire to understand stringy version of AdS/CFT correspondence a light-cone gauge formulation of string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ Ramond Ramond background was recently developed[1,2]. Brief review of this theme may be found in [3]. Discussion of alternative gauges for AdS string may be found in [4–7,1]. Various aspects of *kappa*-invariant action of AdS string were investigated in [8–11]. In contrast to flat string the light-cone action of AdS string [2] is not quadratical in physical string coordinates - it is still to be nonlinear in bosonic coordinates and involves terms of fourth degree in fermionic coordinates. Understanding in which terms AdS string dynamics should be formulated is still to be challenging problem. Anyway, since AdS superstring with RR charge is available only in the light-cone gauge it seems that from the stringy perspective of AdS/CFT correspondence the light-cone approach is the only fruitful direction to go. Alternative approach which might open up new interesting possibilities is based on twistor like variables [12–14].

In this work we develop light-cone gauge formulation of massive arbitrary spin fields in AdS_3. This formulation may be useful for the various reasons. On one hand it might be helpful to understand string dynamics in AdS_3. Note that massless modes of AdS_3 string have already been described in [15] where the light-cone formulation of string in $AdS_3 \times S^3$ background was developed. So, our results may be relevant for discussion of massive modes of AdS_3 string. On the other hand by now due to [16] consistent equations for interacting massless higher spin field theory in AdS_4 are known. Because upon compactification on AdS_3 all massless higher spin modes turn out to be massive one can expect that equations suggested in [16] upon compactification on AdS_3 will describe selfconsistent dynamics of massive arbitrary spin fields there. It is not unlikely then that this compactified theory is related with AdS_3 string theory or some subsector of the latter theory. Another application of compactification we have in mind is a derivation of dynamics of AdS massive arbitrary spin field from the covariant formulations of massless fields given in [17,18]. To our knowledge compactification in AdS spacetime has not been discussed previously in the literature and this is the second theme we study in this work.

2. Massive fields in AdS_3

Let ϕ be arbitrary spin massive field propagating in AdS_3 space-time. Light-cone gauge action for this field can be cast into the following 'non-

---

*Based on talk given at the International Conference "Supersymmetry and Quantum Field Theory" (Kharkov, July 25-29, 2000)

†Permanent address: Department of Theoretical Physics, P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Leninsky prospect 53, Moscow 117924, Russia. E-mail: metsaev@lpi.ru. This work was supported by the DOE grant DE-FG02-91ER-40690, by the INTAS project 991590, by the RFBR Grant No.99-02-16207, and RFBR Grant for Leading Scientific Schools, Grant No. 00-15-96566.

relativistic form'[18]

$$S_{l.c.} = \int d^3x \partial^+ \phi(-\partial^- + P^-)\phi\,, \tag{1}$$

$$P^- = -\frac{\partial_z^2}{2\partial^+} + \frac{1}{2z^2\partial^+}A\,, \tag{2}$$

where P^- is the (minus) Hamiltonian[3] while A is an operator acting only on spin indices of field ϕ and does not depending on space-time coordinates and their derivatives. We shall call the operator A an AdS mass operator[4]. By now this operator is known for (i) massive fields of arbitrary spin and arbitrary type of Young symmetry for $d > 3$[18] ; (ii) massless fields of arbitrary spin in totally (anti)symmetric representations of $so(d-2)$ algebra[18,20]; (iii) type IIB supergravity in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and $AdS_3 \times S^3$ backgrounds[21,15]. So in order to fix light-cone action we should find AdS mass operator.

Massive spin $s \geq 1$ field in AdS_3 has two physical degrees of freedom ϕ_1, ϕ_2 which we combine into a 2-vector

$$\phi = \left(\begin{array}{c} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{array} \right) . \tag{3}$$

The field ϕ can be labeled by spin s and by either mass parameter m or by lowest eigenvalue of energy operator which we shall denote by E_0. We prefer to formulate our result in terms of E_0. So all that ones needs is to express AdS mass operator in terms of E_0 and s. This operator is found to be

$$A = (E_0 - s\sigma_3 - 1)^2 - \frac{1}{4}\,, \tag{4}$$

where σ_3 is the usual Pauli matrix. See Appendix for some details.

As is well known an energy spectrum of spin $s \geq 1$ massive representation (see e.g. [22]) corresponding to *lowest weight unitary* representation of $so(2,2)$ algebra is bounded from below

$$E_0 \geq s + 1\,. \tag{5}$$

As an illustration we would like to demonstrate how this bound can be derived in framework of approach we discuss here. By product we will also explore how the discrete spectrum of energy operators is obtainable in the framework of light-cone. To this end we use momentum basis of p^+ instead of coordinate basis of x^- and make the following transformation of wave function

$$\phi = U\tilde{\phi}\,, \qquad U \equiv (p^+)^{\frac{1}{2}(z\partial_z - 1)}\,, \tag{6}$$

The representation of energy operator in $\tilde{\phi}$ takes then the form

$$\hat{E} = \frac{1}{2}(H_1 + H_2)\,, \tag{7}$$

where Hamiltonians H_1 and H_2 are given by

$$H_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_z^2 + \frac{1}{2z^2}A + \frac{1}{2}z^2\,, \tag{8}$$

$$H_2 = -p^+\partial_{p^+}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{p^+} + \frac{1}{4p^+}(A - 4B) + p^+\,, \tag{9}$$

and operator B is given by (66). See Appendix for details. Introducing instead of momentum p^+ new variable y by relation $2p^+ = y^2$ we find the following representations for the Hamiltonians

$$H_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_z^2 + \frac{1}{2z^2}(\kappa_1^2 - \frac{1}{4}) + \frac{1}{2}z^2\,, \tag{10}$$

$$H_2 = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_y^2 + \frac{1}{2y^2}(\kappa_2^2 - \frac{1}{4}) + \frac{1}{2}y^2\,, \tag{11}$$

where

$$\kappa_1 \equiv E_0 - s - 1\,, \qquad \kappa_2 \equiv E_0 + s - 1\,, \tag{12}$$

and we restricted action of all operators to $\tilde{\phi}_1$ (see (3),(6)). Spectra of the above Hamiltonians are well known

$$E_1 = 2n_1 + |\kappa_1| + 1\,, \quad E_2 = 2n_2 + |\kappa_2| + 1\,, \tag{13}$$

$n_1, n_2 = 0, 1, \ldots$, so taking into account (7) we find an energy spectrum

$$E = n_1 + n_2 + \frac{|\kappa_1| + |\kappa_2|}{2} + 1\,. \tag{14}$$

---

[3] We use parametrization of AdS_3 space in which $ds^2 = R^2(-dx_0^2 + dx_1^2 + dz^2)/z^2$, where R is radius of AdS geometry. ± directions are defined as $x^\pm = (x^1 \pm x^0)/\sqrt{2}$ and x^+ is taken to be a light-cone time. We adopt the conventions: $\partial_z \equiv \partial/\partial z$, $\partial^\pm = \partial_\mp \equiv \partial/\partial x^\mp$.

[4] A is equal to zero only for those massless representations of AdS algebra $so(d-1,2)$ which can be realized as irreducible representations for conformal algebra $so(d,2)$ [19].

From formulas (12) we see that the energy spectrum (14), provided the inequality (5) holds true, takes the form

$$E = E_0 + n_1 + n_2 \,, \qquad (15)$$

i.e. E_0 is indeed lowest eigenvalue of energy operator. The ϕ_2 has the same energy spectrum. Note that helicity operator $\hat{J}$ being restricted to $\tilde{\phi}_1$ and $\tilde{\phi}_2$ takes the forms

$$\hat{J} = \frac{1}{2}(H_2 - H_1)\,, \qquad \hat{J} = \frac{1}{2}(H_1 - H_2) \qquad (16)$$

respectively. If (5) is satisfied the $\hat{J}$ has the following spectra

$$J = s + n_2 - n_1 \,, \qquad J = -s + n_1 - n_2 \qquad (17)$$

for ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 respectively. So ground states of ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 have helicities $+s$ and $-s$ respectively as it should be by construction.

Let us make comment on interrelation of light-cone fields $\phi_{1,2}$ with the ones of covariant approach. For simplicity consider spin $s = 1$. In covariant approach spin one massive field can be described by vector field ϕ^A and Stueckelberg scalar field ϕ[23][5]. They satisfy well known massive spin one gauge invariant equations of motion in AdS background which respect gauge transformations $\delta\phi^M = e^{\mu M}\partial_\mu\alpha$, $\delta\phi = m\alpha$, where e^M_μ is a vielbein of AdS background. Upon imposing light-cone gauge only scalar field ϕ and component of ϕ^M in z direction, ϕ^z, survive. They are related with our light-cone fields (3) as follows $\phi_{1,2} = (\phi^z \pm \phi)/\sqrt{2}$. For the case of $s = 1$ a comparison of covariant and light-cone approaches gives relationship between mass parameter m and E_0: $E_0 = m + 1$. It seems highly likely that this relationship is valid for arbitrary spin $s \geq 1$.

As a side remark let us point out that all transformations of AdS_3 algebra do not mix field ϕ_1 with ϕ_2 (3). Therefore we can make (anti)self dual projection either on ϕ_1 or ϕ_2 by simply taking one of these fields equal to zero. This gives light-cone description of self dual arbitrary spin massive fields in AdS_3 space. Discussion of such fields in $3d$ *flat* space at the level of covariant action may be found in [26].

3. Compactification in AdS spacetime

In contrast to flat space a compactification in AdS spacetime cannot be achieved simply by taking wave function to be periodic with respect to one of *flat* coordinates. To illustrate what has just been said we consider equation of motion of massive scalar field in AdS_d and try to derive its mass term via compactification from AdS_{d+1}. Let us use Poincaré parametrization of AdS_d

$$ds^2_{AdS_d} = \frac{1}{z^2}(dx^a dx_a + dz^2)\,. \qquad (18)$$

Here and below x^a, $a = 0, 1, \ldots d-2$, are flat coordinates along boundary of AdS_d. In this parametrization an action for field propagating in AdS_d takes a form

$$S = \frac{1}{2}\int d^d x \phi \big(\Box_{d-1} + \partial_z^2 - \frac{1}{z^2}A\big)\phi \,, \qquad (19)$$

where from now on we use the notation

$$\Box_{d-1} \equiv -\partial_0^2 + \partial_1^2 + \ldots + \partial_{d-2}^2\,. \qquad (20)$$

This action gives the following equation of motion

$$(\Box_{d-1} + \partial_z^2 - \frac{1}{z^2}A)\phi(x,z) = 0\,. \qquad (21)$$

For the case of massive scalar field the AdS mass operator is expressible in terms of usual mass parameter m as follows

$$A = (mR)^2 + \frac{d(d-2)}{4}\,. \qquad (22)$$

For the case of massless (conformal invariant) scalar field in AdS_d one has $m^2 = -\frac{d(d-2)}{4R^2}$ and this gives $A = 0$. Now we want to generate the mass-like term in (21) starting with massless field in AdS_{d+1} whose line element is taken to be

$$ds^2_{AdS_{d+1}} = \frac{1}{z^2}(dx^a dx_a + dX^2 + dz^2)\,, \qquad (23)$$

where X is a coordinate of AdS_{d+1} we are going to compactify. Equation of motion of conformal invariant (massless) field is

$$(\Box_{d-1} + \partial_z^2 + \partial_X^2)\phi(x,z,X) = 0\,. \qquad (24)$$

From this it is easy to see that if we take the wavefunction $\phi(x,z,X)$ to be periodic in X and make

---

[5] To our knowledge covariant description of massive spin $s > 2$ fields in AdS has not been worked out so far. Recent discussion of related issues may be found in [24,25].

Fourier expansion then we get the following equation $(\Box - n^2)\phi_n(x,z) = 0$ for nth mode. For $n \neq 0$ this equation however does not describe massive field dynamics in AdS_d as in the equation for massive field (see (21)) the AdS mass term $A = n^2$ should be accompanied by factor z^{-2}. This is the reason why naive compactifaction does not work in AdS spacetime. It turns out that in order to get proper mass term one needs to include radial coordinate z in a procedure of compactifaction. To demonstrate this let us consider the simplest case of compactifaction.

3.1. Compactification $AdS_{d+1} \to AdS_d \times S^1$. Scalar field

As before we start with Poincaré parametrization of AdS_{d+1}

$$ds^2_{AdS_{d+1}} = \frac{1}{Z^2}(dx^a dx_a + dX^2 + dZ^2)\,. \qquad (25)$$

Now instead of radial coordinate $Z > 0$ and coordinate X which we want to compactify we introduce new radial coordinate $z > 0$ and angle coordinate φ on semi-circle S^1

$$Z = z\sin\varphi\,, \quad X = z\cos\varphi\,, \quad 0 \le \varphi \le \pi\,. \qquad (26)$$

It is straightforward to see that line element of AdS_{d+1} takes then the form

$$ds^2_{AdS_{d+1}} = \frac{1}{\sin^2\varphi}(ds^2_{AdS_d} + d\varphi^2)\,, \qquad (27)$$

where line element of AdS_d is as in (18) i.e. z is a usual radial coordinate of AdS_d. Now we consider equation of motion for massless scalar field in AdS_{d+1}

$$(\Box_{d-1} + \partial_X^2 + \partial_Z^2)\Phi(x,X,Z) = 0\,. \qquad (28)$$

Plugging Fourier expansion

$$\Phi(x,X,Z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} \frac{e^{in\varphi}}{\sqrt{z}}\phi_n(x,z) \qquad (29)$$

in (28) and using the textbook formula

$$\partial_X^2 + \partial_Z^2 = \frac{1}{z}\partial_z z \partial_z + \frac{1}{z^2}\partial_\varphi^2 \qquad (30)$$

we get then the following equation for nth mode

$$(\Box_{d-1} + \partial_z^2 - \frac{1}{z^2}(n^2 - \frac{1}{4}))\phi_n(x,z) = 0\,. \qquad (31)$$

This equation consists of properly normalized mass term (21) and therefore describes dynamics of field propagating in AdS_d. Comparison with (21) gives the following value of AdS mass operator for nth mode ϕ_n

$$A_n = n^2 - \frac{1}{4}\,. \qquad (32)$$

Taking into account the relation (22) we find a mass spectrum

$$m_n^2 = n^2 - \frac{(d-1)^2}{4}\,, \qquad (33)$$

where the AdS radius R is set equal to unity. Corresponding lowest energy spectrum is

$$E_{0n} = n + \frac{d-1}{2}\,. \qquad (34)$$

Note that since n takes integer values A_n (32) are never equal to zero. This implies that upon compactification of *one* dimension fields of compactification ϕ_n do not satisfy conformal equations of motion in AdS_d. Below we will demonstrate that conformal mode survives only upon compactification of two spatial dimensions.

3.2. Compactification $AdS_{d+d'} \to AdS_d \times S^{d'}$. Scalar field

Discussion of previous section can be generalized to spherical compactification in a rather straightforward way. In this section we study mass spectra of massless and massive fields upon such a compactification. To this end we start as before with Poincaré parametrization of line element of $AdS_{d+d'}$

$$ds^2_{AdS_{d+d'}} = \frac{1}{Z^2}(dx^a dx_a + dZ^2 + dX^\alpha dX^\alpha), \qquad (35)$$

where $\alpha = 1,\ldots,d'$, and d is dimension of AdS_d on which we make reduction of field dynamics while d' is a number of coordinates we are going to compactify. Instead of Z and X^α we introduce new coordinates by relations

$$Z = z\sin\varphi, \quad X^\alpha = z u^\alpha \cos\varphi, \quad 0 \le \varphi \le \pi, \qquad (36)$$

where u^α is a unit vector $u^\alpha u^\alpha = 1$. In terms of the new coordinates z, φ, u^α the line element of $AdS_{d+d'}$ takes the form

$$ds^2_{AdS_{d+d'}} = \frac{1}{\sin^2\varphi}(ds^2_{AdS_d} + ds^2_{S^{d'}})\,, \tag{37}$$

where line element of AdS_d is as in (18) while line element of $S^{d'}$ takes the form

$$ds^2_{S^{d'}} = d\varphi^2 + \cos^2\varphi (du^\alpha)^2\,. \tag{38}$$

As in previous case z becomes radial coordinate of AdS_d. Now let us consider mass spectra of fields obtainable upon this compactification. To this end we start with equation of motion in $AdS_{d+d'}$

$$\Big(\Box_{d-1} + \partial^2_{X^\alpha} + \partial^2_Z - \frac{1}{Z^2}\hat{A}\Big)\hat{\Phi}(x,X,Z) = 0\,, \tag{39}$$

where $\hat{\Phi}$ is a field propagating $AdS_{d+d'}$ while $\hat{A}$ is its AdS mass operator. Taking into account the textbook formula

$$\partial^2_{X^\alpha} + \partial^2_Z = \frac{1}{z^{d'}}\partial_z z^{d'}\partial_z + \frac{1}{z^2}\Delta_{S^{d'}}\,, \tag{40}$$

where $\Delta_{S^{d'}}$ is Laplace operator on $S^{d'}$ we find that in terms of new field defined by

$$\hat{\Phi}(x,X,Z) = z^{-d'/2}\Phi(x,z,u,\varphi) \tag{41}$$

the equation (39) takes desired form

$$(\Box_{d-1} + \partial^2_z - \frac{1}{z^2}A)\Phi(x,z,u,\varphi) = 0\,, \tag{42}$$

where A is AdS mass operator of the new field $\Phi(x,z,u,\varphi)$. This field describes collection of fields in AdS_d which are obtainable by expanding in u^α and φ. From the expressions above it is straightforward to see that A is expressible in terms of $\hat{A}$ as follows

$$A = \frac{1}{\sin^2\varphi}\hat{A} - \Delta_{S^{d'}} + \frac{(d'-1)^2}{4} - \frac{1}{4}\,. \tag{43}$$

Let us first consider the case of massless (conformal invariant) field in $AdS_{d+d'}$, i.e.

$$\hat{A} = 0\,. \tag{44}$$

Decomposing the field $\Phi(x,z,u,\varphi)$ into harmonics of Laplace operator $Y_l(u,\varphi)$

$$\Phi(x,z,u,\varphi) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} Y_l(u,\varphi)\phi_l(x,z) \tag{45}$$

and taking into account spectrum of Laplace operator $\Delta_{S^{d'}}$

$$\langle\Delta_{S^{d'}}\rangle = -l(l+d'-1) \tag{46}$$

we get then the following value of AdS mass operator for mode $\phi_l(x,z)$

$$A_l = (l + \frac{d'-1}{2})^2 - \frac{1}{4}\,. \tag{47}$$

We are able now to learn when conformal invariant mode survives compactification. From (47) we see that $A_l = 0$ iff $d' = 2$, $l = 0$. Thus we conclude that upon compactification $AdS_{d+d'} \to AdS_d \times S^{d'}$ conformal massless modes survives if and only if we compactify two coordinates.

Now let us consider the case of massive field, i.e. when $\hat{A}$ takes the form given in (22). To find mass spectrum one needs to use concrete form of Laplace operator $\Delta_{S^{d'}}$ in coordinates u^α, φ

$$\Delta_{S^{d'}} = \frac{1}{\cos^2\varphi}\Delta_{S^{d'-1}} + \partial^2_\varphi - (d'-1)\mathrm{tg}\varphi\partial_\varphi\,, \tag{48}$$

where $\Delta_{S^{d'-1}}$ is a Laplace operator on unit $S^{d'-1}$ sphere defined by $u^\alpha u^\alpha = 1$. Making a rescaling

$$\Phi(x,z,u,\varphi) = (\cos\varphi)^{-(d'-1)/2}\phi(x,z,u,\varphi) \tag{49}$$

we find the following representation for A in ϕ

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{1}{\sin^2\varphi}\hat{A} - \frac{1}{4} \\ &- \partial^2_\varphi + \frac{1}{\cos^2\varphi}\Big(-\Delta_{S^{d'-1}} + \frac{(d'-2)^2-1}{4}\Big)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Now decomposing the field $\phi(x,z,u,\varphi)$ into harmonics of Laplace operator $Y_l(u)$

$$\phi(x,z,u,\varphi) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} Y_l(u)\phi_l(x,z,\varphi) \tag{51}$$

we find the following AdS mass operator for $\phi_l(x,z,\varphi)$

$$A_l = -\partial^2_\varphi + \frac{\kappa^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{\sin^2\varphi} + \frac{(l+\frac{d'-2}{2})^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{\cos^2\varphi} - \frac{1}{4}\,, \tag{52}$$

where we have used the following representation for $\hat{A}$ (cf. (22))

$$\hat{A} = \kappa^2 - \frac{1}{4}\,, \qquad \kappa \equiv \sqrt{m^2 + \frac{(d+d'-1)^2}{4}}\,. \quad (53)$$

The operator A given by (52) is nothing but Hamiltonian for Pöschl-Teller potential and its spectrum is given by

$$A_{L,l} = (2L + l + \kappa + \frac{d'}{2})^2 - \frac{1}{4}\,, \quad L = 0, 1, \ldots (54)$$

Note that this formula is valid for values of κ, d' and l which satisfy the inequalities

$$\kappa > \frac{1}{2}\,, \qquad l + \frac{d'-2}{2} > \frac{1}{2}\,. \qquad (55)$$

Using formula (22) we get then corresponding mass spectrum.

The above analysis can be generalized to arbitrary spin $s > 0$ field in a straightforward way. For instance arbitrary spin field in AdS_4 taken in light-cone gauge satisfies an equation like (28). Therefore in this case one gets a mass spectrum like (33). For the case of spin field one needs however to analyse some details of AdS transformations. This will be done elsewhere. As a side remark let us point out that mass spectra of compactification in AdS spacetime can also be studied in the framework of oscillator method [22,27].

Appendix

In this Appendix we present some details of deriving AdS mass operator given in (4). Representation for AdS algebra generators on the space of arbitrary spin fields taken in light-cone gauge and propagating in AdS_d was derived in [18] where the light-cone form of AdS field dynamics was discovered. AdS_3 algebra $so(2,2)$ taken in conformal algebra notation consists of generators $P^\pm$, $K^\pm$, J^{+-}, D. They have the following representation[6]

$$P^+ = \partial^+\,, \qquad (56)$$

$$D = x^+ P^- + x^- \partial^+ + z\partial_z + \frac{1}{2}\,, \qquad (57)$$

$$J^{+-} = x^+ P^- - x^- \partial^+\,, \qquad (58)$$

$$K^+ = -\frac{1}{2}(2x^+x^- + z^2)\partial^+ + x^+ D\,, \qquad (59)$$

$$K^- = -\frac{1}{2}(2x^+x^- + z^2)P^- + x^- D + \frac{1}{\partial^+}B \qquad (60)$$

where P^- is given by (2) and operator B acts only on spin degrees of freedom, i.e. it does not depend on spacetime coordinates and their derivatives. As was mentioned above each massive representation can be labeled by E_0 and s. So, all that one needs is to express the operators A and B in terms of E_0 and s. This can easily be done by using technique of Casimir operators. In conformal algebra notation Casimir operators of $so(2,2)$ algebra take the form

$$Q_1 = 2\{P^-, K^+\} - (D + J^{+-})^2 \qquad (61)$$

$$Q_2 = 2\{P^+, K^-\} - (D - J^{+-})^2\,. \qquad (62)$$

Plugging in these expressions representation for generators given in (2),(56)-(60) we find

$$Q_1 = -A + \frac{3}{4}\,, \qquad Q_2 = -A + 4B + \frac{3}{4}\,. \qquad (63)$$

On the other hand the values of these operators for representations of $so(2,2)$ algebra labeled by E_0 and $\pm s$ are given by

$$\langle Q_1 \rangle = -(E_0 - s\sigma_3)(E_0 - s\sigma_3 - 2)\,, \qquad (64)$$

$$\langle Q_2 \rangle = -(E_0 + s\sigma_3)(E_0 + s\sigma_3 - 2)\,. \qquad (65)$$

where we collected representations corresponding to helicites $+s$ and $-s$ into 2-vector in the same way as in (3). Comparison of these expressions with (63) gives AdS mass operator (4) and operator B

$$B = (1 - E_0)s\sigma_3\,. \qquad (66)$$

This completes light-cone description of massive field in AdS_3.

Note that to derive (64),(65) we re-express generators in light-cone basis in terms of ones in energy basis

$$P^+ = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(J^{w\bar{w}} + J^{y\bar{y}} + J^{w\bar{y}} - J^{\bar{w}y})\,, \qquad (67)$$

$$P^- = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(-J^{w\bar{w}} + J^{y\bar{y}} - J^{wy} + J^{\bar{w}\bar{y}})\,, \qquad (68)$$

$$K^+ = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(-J^{w\bar{w}} + J^{y\bar{y}} + J^{wy} - J^{\bar{w}\bar{y}})\,, \qquad (69)$$

$$K^- = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(J^{w\bar{w}} + J^{y\bar{y}} - J^{w\bar{y}} + J^{\bar{w}y})\,, \qquad (70)$$

---

[6] Normalization of commutators of $so(2,2)$ algebra we use is given by formula (B.10) in [15].

$$D = \frac{1}{2}(-J^{w\bar{y}} - J^{wy} - J^{\bar{w}\bar{y}} - J^{\bar{w}y}) \,, \qquad (71)$$

$$J^{+-} = \frac{1}{2}(J^{w\bar{y}} - J^{wy} - J^{\bar{w}\bar{y}} + J^{\bar{w}y}) \,. \qquad (72)$$

The $\hat{E} \equiv J^{w\bar{w}}$ is nothing but energy operator, $\hat{J} \equiv J^{y\bar{y}}$ is a helicity operator, J^{wy}, $J^{w\bar{y}}$ are helicity de-boost operators while $J^{\bar{w}y}$, $J^{\bar{w}\bar{y}}$ are helicity boost operators. In energy basis Casimir operators take the form

$$Q_1 = -(J^{w\bar{w}} - J^{y\bar{y}})^2 - 2\{J^{wy}, J^{\bar{w}\bar{y}}\} \,, \qquad (73)$$

$$Q_2 = -(J^{w\bar{w}} + J^{y\bar{y}})^2 - 2\{J^{w\bar{y}}, J^{\bar{w}y}\} \,. \qquad (74)$$

Using then a definition of ground state

$$\hat{E}|\mathrm{vac}\rangle = E_0|\mathrm{vac}\rangle \,, \quad \hat{J}|\mathrm{vac}\rangle = s\sigma_3|\mathrm{vac}\rangle \,, \qquad (75)$$

$$J^{wy}|\mathrm{vac}\rangle = 0 \,, \qquad J^{w\bar{y}}|\mathrm{vac}\rangle = 0 \,, \qquad (76)$$

where the ground state $|\mathrm{vac}\rangle$ is a 2-vector (see (3)), we find eigenvalues of Q_1 and Q_2 (64),(65). Energy basis commutators of $so(2,2)$ we use are

$$[J^{AB}, J^{CE}] = \eta^{BC} J^{AE} + 3 \text{ terms} \,, \qquad (77)$$

with nonvanishing $\eta^{y\bar{y}} = -\eta^{w\bar{w}} = 1$.

In order to derive representation for $\hat{E}$ (7) we use relations (67)-(70) to re-express $\hat{E}$ in terms of $P^\pm$ and $K^\pm$ given in (2),(56)-(60), restrict all expressions to surface of initial data $x^+ = 0$ and use momentum representation in which $x^- = \mathrm{i}\partial_{p^+}$, $\partial^+ = \mathrm{i}p^+$. Doing this we find $\hat{E} = (H_1 + H_2)/2$ where

$$H_1 = -\frac{1}{2p^+}\partial_z^2 + \frac{1}{2p^+ z^2}A + \frac{1}{2}p^+ z^2 \,, \qquad (78)$$

$$H_2 = -\frac{z^2}{4p^+}(\partial_z^2 - \frac{1}{z^2}A) + p^+ \qquad (79)$$

$$+\partial_{p^+}(-\partial_{p^+}p^+ + z\partial_z + \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{1}{p^+}B \,.$$

In basis of $\tilde{\phi}$ (6) these H_1 and H_2 take the form given in (8),(9). In a similar way one can obtain the representation for $\hat{J}$ given in (16).

REFERENCES

1. R.R. Metsaev and A.A. Tseytlin, Phys. Rev. D **63** (2001) 046002 [hep-th/ 0007036].
2. R.R. Metsaev, C.B. Thorn and A.A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. B **596** (2001) 151 [hep-th/0009-171].
3. A.A. Tseytlin, hep-th/ 0009226.
4. R. Kallosh, hep-th/ 9807206.
5. I. Pesando, JHEP**9811** (1998) 002 [hep-th/ 9808020]. Phys. Lett. B **485** (2000) 246 [hep-th/ 9912284].
6. R. Kallosh and J. Rahmfeld, Phys. Lett. B **443** (1998) 143 [hep-th/ 9808038].
7. R. Kallosh and A.A. Tseytlin, JHEP**9810** (1998) 016 [hep-th/ 9808088].
8. R.R. Metsaev and A.A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. B **533** (1998) 109 [hep-th/ 9805028]. Phys. Lett. B **436** (1998) 281 [hep-th/9806095].
9. R. Kallosh, J. Rahmfeld and A. Rajaraman, JHEP**9809** (1998) 002 [hep-th/9805217].
10. R. Roiban and W. Siegel, JHEP**0011** (2000) 024 [hep-th/0010104].
11. R.R. Metsaev, hep-th/0012026.
12. P. Claus, M. Gunaydin, R. Kallosh, J. Rahmfeld and Y. Zunger, JHEP**9905** (1999) 019 [hep-th/9905112].
13. N. Berkovits, hep-th/0008145.
14. I. Bandos, J. Lukierski, C. Preitschopf and D. Sorokin, Phys. Rev. D **61** (2000) 065009 [hep-th/9907113].
15. R.R. Metsaev and A.A. Tseytlin, hep-th/ 0011191.
16. M. A. Vasiliev, Phys. Lett. B **243** (1990) 378.
17. V. E. Lopatin and M. A. Vasiliev, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **3** (1988) 257.
18. R. R. Metsaev, Nucl. Phys. B **563** (1999) 295 [hep-th/9906217].
19. R. R. Metsaev, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **10** (1995) 1719.
20. R. R. Metsaev, hep-th/0011112.
21. R.R. Metsaev, Phys. Lett. B **468** (1999) 65 [hep-th/9908114].
22. M. Gunaydin, G. Sierra and P. K. Townsend, Nucl. Phys. B **274** (1986) 429.
23. C. Aragone, S. Deser and Z. Yang, Annals Phys. **179** (1987) 76.
24. S. M. Klishevich, hep-th/0002024.

25. I. L. Buchbinder and V. D. Pershin, hep-th/0009026.
26. I. V. Tyutin and M. A. Vasiliev, Theor. Math. Phys. **113** (1997) 1244 [hep-th/9704132].
27. M. Gunaydin, P. van Nieuwenhuizen and N. P. Warner, Nucl. Phys. B **255** (1985) 63.